UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

3:19-CR-30017-RAL

Plaintiff,

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

vs.

AARON SANTISTEVAN,

Defendant.

Members of the jury, the instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I now give you some additional instructions. The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important.

All instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law was different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

I have mentioned the word "evidence." The "evidence" in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses, the documents and other things received as exhibits, and the facts that have been stipulated—that is, formally agreed to by the parties.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

- l. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.
- 2. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
- 3. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
- 4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

When you were instructed that evidence was received for a limited purpose, you must follow that instruction.

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony of any witness to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

The superseding indictment in this case charges the defendant with one crime. The defendant is charged with possession of ammunition by a prohibited person. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to this charge.

The superseding indictment is simply the document that formally charges the defendant with the crime for which he is on trial. The superseding indictment is not evidence of anything. At the beginning of the trial, I instructed you that you must presume the defendant to be innocent. Thus, the defendant began the trial with a clean slate, with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty. This presumption can be overcome only if the United States proved during the trial, beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the crime charged.

Please remember that only the defendant, not anyone else, is on trial here, and that the defendant is on trial only for the crime charged, not for anything else.

There is no burden upon a defendant to prove that he is innocent. Instead, the burden of proof remains on the United States throughout the trial. Accordingly, the fact that a defendant did not testify must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdict.

The crime of possession of ammunition by a prohibited person, as charged in the superseding indictment, has three elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 28th day of December, 2018, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, Aaron Santistevan knowingly possessed ammunition, that is forty rounds of brass-cased, copper-jacketed hollow point, .50 Action Express caliber ammunition, with the headstamp markings of "HORNADY" and "50 AE;"

The parties have stipulated, and the defendant has conceded, that forty rounds of brass-cased, copper-jacketed hollow point, .50 Action Express caliber ammunition, with the headstamp markings of "HORNADY" and "50 AE is ammunition.

Two, that at the time he possessed the ammunition, the defendant had previously been convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year; and

The parties have stipulated, and the defendant has conceded, that he previously was convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

Three, that the ammunition was transported in interstate or foreign commerce at some time during or before the defendant's possession of it.

The parties have stipulated, and the defendant has conceded, that the ammunition was transported in interstate or foreign commerce at some time during or before the defendant's possession of it.

If you find unanimously that the government has proved these elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in the superseding indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

You have heard evidence that the defendant was previously convicted of a crime because that fact is an element of the offense of possession of ammunition by a prohibited person, as charged in the superseding indictment. This evidence, however, does not mean that the defendant committed the crime charged here. You may not consider the defendant's prior conviction as evidence that he knowingly possessed ammunition in this case.

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may have sole or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control over a thing, at a given time, is then in actual possession of it.

A person who, although not in actual possession, has both the power and the intention at a given time to exercise dominion or control over a thing, either directly or through another person or persons, is then in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is sole. If two or more persons share actual or constructive possession of a thing, possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in these instructions it includes actual as well as constructive possession and also sole as well as joint possession.

You may also consider any evidence of flight by the defendant, along with all of the evidence in the case, and you may consider whether this evidence may or may not show a consciousness of guilt and determine the significance to be attached to any such conduct.

Whether or not evidence of flight shows a consciousness of guilt and the significance to be attached to any such evidence are matters exclusively within the province of the jury. In your consideration of the evidence of flight you should consider that there may be reasons for this which are fully consistent with innocence.

The Government is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his acts or omissions were unlawful, but must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant knowingly possessed ammunition.

Reasonable doubt is doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not doubt based on speculation. A reasonable doubt may arise from careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from a lack of evidence. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person, after careful consideration, would not hesitate to rely and act upon that proof in life's most important decisions. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdict, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict—whether guilty or not guilty—must be unanimous. Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors. Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right, or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the United States has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or court security officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

Fifth, during your deliberations, you must not communicate with or provide any information to anyone other than by note to me by any means about this case. You may not use any electronic device or media, such as a telephone, cell phone, smart phone, iPhone, Blackberry, or computer; the internet, any internet service, or any text or instant messaging service; or any internet chat room, blog, or website such as Facebook, Snapchat, Instagram, YouTube, or Twitter, to communicate to anyone information about this case or to conduct any research about this case until I accept your verdict.

Sixth, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be—that is entirely for you to decide.

Finally, the verdict form is simply the written notice of the decision that you reach in this case. You will take this form to the jury room, and when each of you has agreed on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form, sign and date it, and advise the marshal or court security officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	3:19-CR-30017-RAL
Plaintiff,	
vs.	VERDICT FORM
AARON SANTISTEVAN,	
Defendant.	
We, the jury, duly empaneled and sworn to try the issues in this case, find as follows:	
1. We find Defendant Aaron Santistevan (fill in either "not guilty" or "guilty") of the crime of possession of ammunition by a prohibited person, as charged in the superseding indictment.	
Dated June, 2019	
	Foreperson