UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA NORTHERN DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR 16-10017-CBK

Plaintiff,

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

VS.

DASHOWN RAYMOND KEYS,

Defendant.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it is my duty now to explain the rules of law you must apply to this case.

You as jurors are the sole judges of the facts. But it is your duty to follow the law stated in these instructions, and to apply that law to the facts as you find them from the evidence before you. I also gave you an oral instruction during the trial and you must follow that instruction. It would be a violation of your sworn duty to base your verdicts upon any rules of law other than the ones given you in these instructions, regardless of your personal feelings as to what the law ought to be.

You are not to single out one instruction alone as stating the law, but must consider the instructions as a whole.

instruction no. 2

You have been chosen and sworn as jurors to try the issues of fact presented by the allegations of the indictment and the denials made by the defendant in his pleas of "not guilty." You are to perform this duty without bias or prejudice, because the law does not permit jurors to be governed by sympathy or public opinion. The accused and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially consider all of the evidence and will follow the law as stated by the Court, in order to reach just verdicts, regardless of the consequences to any party.

The indictment in this case charges the defendant with the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and abusive sexual contact of a child. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to these charges.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Therefore, the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him. This presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the crimes charged.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent.

Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately, and return a separate verdict for each count.

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

I have mentioned the word "evidence." The evidence in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses, and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

- 1. Statements, arguments, questions and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.
- 2. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
- 3. Testimony and questions that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, are not evidence and must not be considered.
- 4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

Finally, you were instructed that some evidence was received for a limited purpose only and you must follow those instructions.

There are two types of evidence from which you may find the truth as to the facts of a case-direct and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eyewitness; circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances indicating the guilt or innocence of the defendant. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. Nor is a greater degree of certainty required of circumstantial evidence than of direct evidence. You should weigh all the evidence in the case. After weighing all the evidence, if you are not convinced of the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant not guilty.

INSTRUCTION NO. _______

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

You should judge the testimony of the defendant in the same manner as you judge the testimony of any other witness.

The weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses testifying. You should consider all the facts and circumstances in evidence to determine which of the witnesses are worthy of a greater credence. You may find that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side is more credible than the testimony of a greater number of witnesses on the other side.

You have heard testimony from a person described as an expert. A person who, by knowledge, skill, training, education or experience, has become an expert in some field may state opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for those opinions.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used, and all the other evidence in the case.

You have heard testimony that defendant allegedly made statements to others. It is for you to decide:

First, whether defendant made the statements in question and

Second, if so, how much weight you should give to it.

In making these two decisions you should consider all of the evidence, including the circumstances under which the statements may have been made.

At the beginning of the trial I told you that the defendant was accused of seven different crimes: five counts of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and two counts of abusive sexual contact of a child. Since the trial started, however, one of the charges of aggravated sexual abuse of a child has been dismissed. That charge is no longer before you and the only crimes that the defendant is charged with now are four counts of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and two counts of abusive sexual contact of a child. You should not guess or concern yourselves with the reasons for this disposition. You are not to consider this fact when deciding if the government has proved, beyond a reasonable doubt, the counts which remain.

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count 1 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, the same had not attained the age of 12 years.
- 3. is an Indian; and
- 4. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime as charged in Count 1 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

Instruction no. 13

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count 2 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between November 1, 2013, and September 6, 2015, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally caused to engage in a sexual act, namely contact between Dashawn Raymond Keys' mouth and vulva.
- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, sales and had not attained the age of 12 years.
- 3. **Example 1** is an Indian; and
- 4. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime as charged in Count 2 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count 3 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between November 1, 2013, and September 6, 2015, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally caused to engage in a sexual act, namely penetration of the genital opening of by Dashawn Raymond Keys' hand or finger with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass or degrade or to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of Dashown Raymond Keys.
- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, **** At the time of the alleged offense**, **** At the time of the alleged of the alleged offense**, **** At the time of the alleged of th**
- 3. **Laboration** is an Indian; and
- 4. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime as charged in Count 3 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

The crime of abusive sexual contact of a child, as charged in Count 4 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- On or about between November 1, 2013, and September 6, 2015, the defendant did voluntarily and intentionally engage in or attempt to engage in sexual contact with the directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of the directly of the directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of the directly of the directly of degrade the directly of the sexual desire of Dashown Raymond Keys.
- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, had not attained the age of 12 years.
- 3. English is an Indian; and
- 4. The offense occurred in Indian country.

You must unanimously agree as to the contact involved in order to find that the defendant engaged in sexual contact.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime charged in Count 4 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. <u>6</u>

If you should unanimously find the defendant 'Not Guilty" of any one or more of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child or abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 1-4 or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to reach a verdict as to any one or more of the crimes charged in Counts 1-4 of the indictment, then you must proceed to determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant as to simple assault under this instruction.

The crime of simple assault, a lesser included offense of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 1-4 of the indictment, has three essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between November 1, 2013, and September 6, 2015, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally assaulted (1988).
- 2. is an Indian; and
- 3. The alleged offense occurred in Indian country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, a lesser included offense of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 1-4 of the indictment, the government must prove all of the essential elements of this lesser included offense beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count 5 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2016, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally caused act, as defined in Instruction No. 20.
- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, had not attained the age of 12 years.
- 3. is an Indian; and
- 4. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime as charged in Count 6 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

The crime of abusive sexual contact of a child, as charged in Count 6 of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between the January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2016, the defendant did voluntarily and intentionally engage in or attempt to engage in sexual contact with the directly or through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of the directly of through the clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or buttocks of the directly of the directly of Dashown Raymond Keys.
- 2. At the time of the alleged offense, had not attained the age of 12 years.
- 3. is an Indian; and
- 4. The offense occurred in Indian country.

You must unanimously agree as to the contact involved in order to find that the defendant engaged in sexual contact.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime charged in Count 6 of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

If you should unanimously find the defendant 'Not Guilty" of any one or more of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child or abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 5-6 or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to reach a verdict as to any one or more of the crimes charged in Counts 5-6 of the indictment, then you must proceed to determine the guilt or innocence of the defendant as to simple assault under this instruction.

The crime of simple assault, a lesser included offense of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 5-6 of the indictment, has three essential elements, which are:

- 1. On or about between January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2016, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally assaulted.
- 2. distance is an Indian; and
- 3. The alleged offense occurred in Indian country.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime, a lesser included offense of the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and abusive sexual contact of a child as charged in Counts 5-6 of the indictment, the government must prove all of the essential elements of this lesser included offense beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

instruction no. 20

The term sexual act as used in these instructions means:

- 1. Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus. Contact involving the penis occurs upon penetration, however slight;
- 2. Contact between the mouth and the penis, the mouth and the vulva, or the mouth and the anus;
- 3. The penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another by a hand or finger or by any object, with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, or degrade, or to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any person; or
- 4. The intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the genitalia of another person who has not attained the age of 12 years with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, or degrade, or to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any person.

You must unanimously agree as to the sexual act involved in order to find that the defendant engaged in a sexual act as to the Count in question.

The term "assault" as used in Instruction Nos. _____ and ______ means any intentional and voluntary attempt or threat to do injury to the person of another, when coupled with the apparent present ability to do so sufficient to put the person against whom the attempt is made in fear of immediate bodily harm.

Intent may be proved like anything else. You may consider any statements and acts done by the defendant, and all the facts and circumstances in evidence which may aid in a determination of the defendant's intent.

You may, but are not required to infer that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done or knowingly omitted.

Instruction no. 23

The crimes charged in the indictment include an attempt to commit the crime in question. The defendant may be found guilty of an attempt if he intended to engage in the activities alleged in the indictment and he knowingly and intentionally carried out some act which was a substantial step toward the commission of the alleged activity.

The indictment charges that offenses were committed "on or about between" certain dates. The proof need not establish with certainty the exact date or dates of the alleged offenses. It is sufficient if the evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense in question was committed on a date reasonably near the dates alleged.

The indictment in this case alleges that the alleged victims are Indians and that the alleged offenses occurred in Indian country. The existence of those two factors is necessary in order for this Court to have jurisdiction over the case.

Counsel for the United States, counsel for the defendant, and the defendant have agreed or stipulated that the alleged victims are Indians and that the place where the alleged incidents occurred is in Indian country.

The defendant has not, by entering this agreement or stipulation, admitted his guilt of the offenses charged, and you may not draw any inference of guilt from the stipulation. The only effect of this stipulation is to establish the facts that the alleged victims are Indians and that the alleged incidents occurred in Indian country.

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your number to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations, and will be your spokesperson here in Court.

A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience.

You will take this form to the jury room and, when you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdicts, you will have your foreperson fill in, date and sign the form to state the verdicts upon which you unanimously agree, and then notify the marshal that you have verdicts.

The verdicts must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return any verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree thereto. Your verdicts must be unanimous.

It is your duty, as jurors, to consult with one another, and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement, if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for himself or herself, but do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence in the case with the other jurors. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to re-examine your own views, and change your opinion, if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence, solely because of the opinion of the other jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember at all times, you are not partisans. You are judges - judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

If you have questions, you may send a note by a marshal, signed by your foreperson, or by one or more members of the jury.

You will note from the oath about to be taken by the marshal that he, as well as all other persons, are forbidden to communicate in any way or manner with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case.

Bear in mind also that you are never to reveal to any person--not even to the Court--how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt or innocence of the accused, until after you have reached unanimous verdicts.

It is proper to add a final caution.

Nothing that I have said in these instructions, and nothing that I have said or done during the trial, has been said or done to suggest to you what I think your verdicts should be.

What the verdicts shall be is your exclusive duty and responsibility.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR 16-10017-CBK
Plaintiff,	·
VS.	VERDICT
DASHOWN RAYMOND KEYS,	
Defendant.	
Please return a verdict by placing an "X" in the space provided.	
COUNT 1	
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual	
abuse of a child as charged in Count 1 of the in	dictment, find Dashown Raymond Keys:
NOT GUILTY GUILTY	
If, and only if, you found Dashown Raymond Keys NOT GUILTY of the crime	
charged in Count 1 of the indictment, or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to	
reach a verdict as to the crime charged in Count 1 of the indictment, then you must	
deliberate on the lesser included offense of simple assault and complete the following:	
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of simple assault, a lesser	
included offense of the crime charged in Count 1 of the indictment, find Dashown	
Raymond Keys:	
NOT GUILTY	GUILTY

COUNT 2

COUNT 2
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual
abuse of a child as charged in Count 2 of the indictment, find Dashown Raymond Keys:
NOT GUILTYGUILTY
If, and only if, you found Dashown Raymond Keys NOT GUILTY of the crime
charged in Count 2 of the indictment, or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to
reach a verdict as to the crime charged in Count 2 of the indictment, then you must
deliberate on the lesser included offense of simple assault and complete the following:
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of simple assault, a lesser
included offense of the crime charged in Count 2 of the indictment, find Dashown
Raymond Keys:
NOT GUILTY GUILTY
COUNT 3
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual
abuse of a child as charged in Count 3 of the indictment, find Dashown Raymond Keys:
NOT GUILTY GUILTY
If, and only if, you found Dashown Raymond Keys NOT GUILTY of the crime
charged in Count 3 of the indictment, or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to
reach a verdict as to the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment, then you must
deliberate on the lesser included offense of simple assault and complete the following:
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of simple assault, a lesser
included offense of the crime charged in Count 3 of the indictment, find Dashown
Raymond Keys:
NOT GUILTY GUILTY

COUNT 4		
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of abusive sexual contact		
of a child as charged in Count 4 of the indictment, find Dashown Raymond Keys:		
NOT GUILTY GUILTY		
If, and only if, you found Dashown Raymond Keys NOT GUILTY of the crime		
charged in Count 4 of the indictment, or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to		
reach a verdict as to the crime charged in Count 4 of the indictment, then you must		
deliberate on the lesser included offense of simple assault and complete the following:		
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of simple assault, a lesser		
included offense of the crime charged in Count 4 of the indictment, find Dashown		
Raymond Keys:		
NOT GUILTY GUILTY		
COUNT 5		
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual		
abuse of a child as charged in Count 5 of the indictment, find Dashown Raymond Keys:		
NOT GUILTYGUILTY		
If and only if you found Dashown Daymand Ways NOT CHILTY (C.)		
If, and only if, you found Dashown Raymond Keys NOT GUILTY of the crime		
charged in Count 5 of the indictment, or if, after all reasonable efforts, you are unable to		
reach a verdict as to the crime charged in Count 5 of the indictment, then you must		
deliberate on the lesser included offense of simple assault and complete the following:		
We, the jury in the above entitled action, as to the crime of simple assault, a lesser		
included offense of the crime charged in Count 5 of the indictment, find Dashown		
Raymond Keys:		

COUNT 6

Foreperson