

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
NORTHERN DIVISION

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. JEROME MOSES GOODHOUSE, JR., Defendant.</p>	<p>CR 22-10004-CBK JURY INSTRUCTIONS</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, it is my duty now to explain the rules of law you must apply to this case.

You as jurors are the sole judges of the facts. But it is your duty to follow the law stated in these instructions, and to apply that law to the facts as you find them from the evidence before you. I also gave you instructions during the trial and you must follow those instructions. It would be a violation of your sworn duty to base your verdicts upon any rules of law other than the ones given you in these instructions, regardless of your personal feelings as to what the law ought to be.

You are not to single out one instruction alone as stating the law, but must consider the instructions as a whole.

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

You have been chosen and sworn as jurors to try the issues of fact presented by the allegations of the indictment and the denials made by the defendant in his pleas of “not guilty.” You are to perform this duty without bias or prejudice, because the law does not permit jurors to be governed by sympathy or public opinion. The accused and the public expect that you will carefully and impartially consider all of the evidence and will follow the law as stated by the Court, in order to reach just verdicts, regardless of the consequences to any party.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

The indictment in this case charges the defendant with the crimes of aggravated sexual abuse of a child and tampering with a witness. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to these charges.

As I told you at the beginning of the trial, an indictment is simply an accusation. It is not evidence of anything. To the contrary, the defendant is presumed to be innocent. Therefore, the defendant, even though charged, begins the trial with no evidence against him. This presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty and can be overcome only if the government proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the crimes charged.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent. Accordingly, the fact that the defendant did not testify must not be considered by you in any way, or even discussed, in arriving at your verdict.

Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately, and return a separate verdict for each count.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense, and not the mere possibility of innocence. A reasonable doubt is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, must be proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it. However, proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

I have mentioned the word “evidence.” The evidence in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses, and the documents and other things received as exhibits.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions, and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.

2. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.

3. Testimony and questions that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, are not evidence and must not be considered.

4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

Finally, you were instructed that some evidence was received for a limited purpose only and you must follow that instruction.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

There are two types of evidence from which you may find the truth as to the facts of a case -- direct and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eyewitness; circumstantial evidence is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances indicating the guilt or innocence of the defendant. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. Nor is a greater degree of certainty required of circumstantial evidence than of direct evidence. You should weigh all the evidence in the case. After weighing all the evidence, if you are not convinced of the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant not guilty.

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

The weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses testifying. You should consider all the facts and circumstances in evidence to determine which of the witnesses are worthy of a greater credence. You may find that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side is more credible than the testimony of a greater number of witnesses on the other side.

INSTRUCTION NO. 9

You have heard evidence that the defendant was previously convicted in 2018 of abusive sexual contact of a person incapable of consent. The fact that he was previously convicted of a crime does not mean that he committed any of the crimes charged in this case, and you must not use that evidence as proof of any of the crimes charged in this case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

You have heard testimony from a person described as an expert. A person who, by knowledge, skill, training, education, or experience, has become an expert in some field may state opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for those opinions.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used, and all the other evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 11

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count I of the indictment, has six essential elements, which are:

1. On or about March 10, 2017, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally caused, or attempted to cause, [REDACTED] to engage in a sexual act.
2. At the time of the alleged offense, [REDACTED] had attained the age of 12 years but had not attained the age of 16 years.
3. At the time of the alleged offense, the defendant was at least four years older than [REDACTED]
4. The defendant, to cause [REDACTED] to engage in the sexual act, used force or threat of force, as defined in Instruction No. 13.
5. The defendant is an Indian; and
6. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

The term "sexual act" as used in this instruction means:

- A. The penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another by a hand or finger, with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, or degrade the alleged victim, or to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of the defendant; or
- B. The intentional touching, not through the clothing, of the genitalia of another person who has not attained the age of 16 years with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, or degrade the child, or to arouse or gratify the sexual desire of the defendant.

You must unanimously agree as to the sexual act involved in order to find that the defendant engaged in a sexual act.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime charged in Count I of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count II of the indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

1. On or about between January 1, 2017, and December 31, 2017, the defendant voluntarily and intentionally caused, or attempted to cause, [REDACTED] to engage in a sexual act.
2. At the time of the alleged offense, [REDACTED] had not attained the age of 12 years.
3. The defendant is an Indian; and
4. The alleged offense occurred in Indian Country.

The term "sexual act" as used in this instruction means:

- A. Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, and for purposes of this subparagraph contact involving the penis occurs upon penetration, however slight. or
- B. Contact between the mouth and the penis, the mouth and the vulva, or the mouth and the anus.

You must unanimously agree as to the sexual act involved in order to find that the defendant engaged in a sexual act.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this crime charged in Count II of the indictment, the government must prove all of these essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 13

The term “force” as used in these instructions means the use of physical force sufficient to overcome, restrain, or injure the alleged victim; or the use of force sufficient to prevent the alleged victim from escaping the sexual act. A discrepancy in the size of the individuals is not, by itself, sufficient to conclude the defendant used force.

INSTRUCTION NO. 14

The crime of tampering with a witness, as charged in Count III of the indictment, has two essential elements, which are:

1. On or about March 10, 2017, the defendant knowingly intimidated or threatened [REDACTED] or attempted to do so.
2. That defendant did so with the intent to hinder, delay, or prevent the communication to a federal law enforcement officer of the crime charged in Count I.

To “intimidate” someone means intentionally to say or do something that would cause a person of ordinary sensibilities to be fearful of harm to herself or another. It is not necessary for the government to prove that Cante Marquez was actually frightened.

To find the defendant guilty of witness tampering, the government must show a reasonable likelihood that had the victim communicated with law enforcement officers about the offense, at least one relevant communication would have been made to a federal law enforcement officer. The government only need to show that the likelihood of communication to a federal officer was more than remote, outlandish, or simply hypothetical.

For you to find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count III of the indictment, the government must prove all of these elements beyond a reasonable doubt. Otherwise you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 15

The crimes charged in the indictment include an attempt to commit the crime in question. The defendant may be found guilty of an attempt if he intended to engage in the activities alleged in the indictment and he knowingly and intentionally carried out some act which was a substantial step toward the commission of the alleged activity.

INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Intent may be proved like anything else. You may consider any statements and acts done by the defendant, and all the facts and circumstances in evidence which may aid in a determination of the defendant's intent.

You may, but are not required to, infer that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done or knowingly omitted.

INSTRUCTION NO. 17

The indictment charges that the offenses were committed “on or about” or “on or about between” certain dates. The proof need not establish with certainty the exact date of the alleged offenses. It is sufficient if the evidence in the case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that the offenses were committed on a date reasonably near the date or dates alleged.

INSTRUCTION NO. 18

The indictment in this case alleges that the defendant is an Indian and that the offenses alleged in Counts I and II occurred in Indian country. The existence of those factors is necessary in order for this Court to have jurisdiction over the crimes charged in Counts I and II of the indictment.

Counsel for the United States, counsel for the defendant, and the defendant have agreed or stipulated that defendant is an Indian and that the place where the incidents alleged in Counts I and II are claimed to have occurred is in Indian country.

The defendant has not, by entering this agreement or stipulation, admitted his guilt of the offenses charged, and you may not draw any inference of guilt from the stipulation.

The only effect of this stipulation is to establish the facts that the defendant is an Indian and that the place where the offenses alleged in Counts I and II are claimed to have occurred is in Indian country.

INSTRUCTION NO. 19

Upon retiring to the jury room, you will select one of your number to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations, and will be your spokesperson here in Court.

A verdict form has been prepared for your convenience.

You will take this form to the jury room and, when you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdicts, you will have your foreperson fill in, date, and sign the form to state the verdicts upon which you unanimously agree, and then notify the marshal that you have a verdict.

INSTRUCTION NO. 20

The verdicts must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return any verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree thereto. Your verdicts must be unanimous.

It is your duty, as jurors, to consult with one another, and to deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement, if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for himself or herself, but do so only after an impartial consideration of the evidence in the case with the other jurors. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to re-examine your own views, and change your opinion, if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence, solely because of the opinion of the other jurors, or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember at all times, you are not partisans. You are judges - judges of the facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 21

If you have questions, you may send a note by a marshal, signed by your foreperson, or by one or more members of the jury.

You will note from the oath about to be taken by the marshal that he, as well as all other persons, are forbidden to communicate in any way or manner with any member of the jury on any subject touching the merits of the case.

Bear in mind also that you are never to reveal to any person--not even to the Court--how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, on the question of the guilt or innocence of the accused, until after you have reached unanimous verdicts.

INSTRUCTION NO. 22

It is proper to add a final caution.

Nothing that I have said in these instructions, and nothing that I have said or done during the trial, has been said or done to suggest to you what I think your verdicts should be.

What the verdicts shall be is your exclusive duty and responsibility.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
NORTHERN DIVISION

<p>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. JEROME MOSES GOODHOUSE, JR., Defendant.</p>	<p>CR 22-10004-CBK VERDICT</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------

Please return a verdict by placing an "X" in the space provided.

COUNT I

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count I of the indictment, find Jerome Moses Goodhouse, Jr.:

_____ NOT GUILTY _____ GUILTY

COUNT II

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, as to the crime of aggravated sexual abuse of a child, as charged in Count II of the indictment, find Jerome Moses Goodhouse, Jr.:

_____ NOT GUILTY _____ GUILTY

COUNT III

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, as to the crime of tampering with a witness, as charged in Count III of the indictment, find Jerome Moses Goodhouse, Jr.:

_____ NOT GUILTY _____ GUILTY

Dated this _____ day of August, 2022.

Foreperson