

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CANKU MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

3:24-CR-30008-ECS

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

Members of the jury, the instructions I gave you at the beginning of the trial and during the trial remain in effect. I now give you some additional instructions. The instructions I am about to give you now are in writing and will be available to you in the jury room.

You must, of course, continue to follow the instructions I gave you earlier, as well as those I give you now. You must not single out some instructions and ignore others, because all are important.

All instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, must be followed.

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

It is your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. You will then apply the law, as I give it to you, to those facts. You must follow my instructions on the law, even if you thought the law were different or should be different.

Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence you. The law demands of you a just verdict, unaffected by anything except the evidence, your common sense, and the law as I give it to you.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

I have mentioned the word “evidence.” The “evidence” in this case consists of the testimony of witnesses, the documents and other things received as exhibits, and the facts that have been stipulated—that is, formally agreed to by the parties.

You may use reason and common sense to draw deductions or conclusions from facts which have been established by the evidence in the case.

Certain things are not evidence. I shall list those things again for you now:

1. Statements, arguments, questions, and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.
2. Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a right to object when they believe something is improper. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustained an objection to a question, then you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.
3. Testimony that I struck from the record, or told you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
4. Anything you saw or heard about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence.

When you were instructed that evidence was received for a limited purpose, you must follow that instruction.

Some of you may have heard the terms “direct evidence” and “circumstantial evidence.” You are instructed that you should not be concerned with those terms. The law does not distinguish between direct and circumstantial evidence. You should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to receive.

INSTRUCTION NO. 4

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony of any witness to believe, consider the witness's intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness's memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe.

You should judge the testimony of the defendant in the same manner as you would judge the testimony of any other witness.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes hear or see things differently and sometimes forget things. You need to consider therefore whether a contradiction is an innocent misrecollection or lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

The Indictment in this case charges the defendant, Canku Martinez, with two counts of aggravated sexual abuse, two counts of kidnapping, and one count of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to these charges.

The Indictment is simply the document that formally charges the defendant with the crimes for which he is on trial. The Indictment is not evidence of anything. At the beginning of the trial, I instructed you that you must presume the defendant to be innocent. Thus, the defendant began the trial with a clean slate, with no evidence against him. The presumption of innocence alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty. This presumption can be overcome only if the United States proved during the trial, beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the crimes charged.

Please remember that only the defendant, not anyone else, is on trial here, and that the defendant is on trial only for the crimes charged, not for anything else. Keep in mind that each count charges a separate crime. You must consider each count separately and return a separate verdict for each count.

There is no burden upon the defendant to prove that he is innocent. Instead, the burden of proof remains on the United States throughout the trial.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse as charged in Count I of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 28th day of April, 2023, in Todd County, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, Canku Martinez, knowingly caused or attempted to cause K.B. to engage in a sexual act;

“Sexual act” is defined in Instruction No. 11.

“Attempt” is defined in Instruction No. 12.

Two, that the defendant did so by using force or by threatening or placing K.B. in fear that she would be subjected to death, serious bodily injury, or kidnapping;

“Force” is defined in Instruction No. 13.

“Serious bodily injury” is defined in Instruction No. 14.

Three, that K.B. is an Indian; and

Four, that the offense took place in Indian country.

If you find unanimously that the United States has proved these four elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count I of the Indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

The crime of kidnapping, as charged in Count II of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 28th day of April, 2023, in Todd County, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, Canku Martinez, unlawfully and willfully seized, confined, inveigled, decoyed, kidnapped, or carried away K.B. without her consent;

The terms “seize,” “confine,” “inveigle,” “decoy,” “kidnap,” and “carry away” are defined in Instruction No. 15.

Two, the defendant held K.B. for the purpose of assaulting her or otherwise;

The “or otherwise” is satisfied if the person kidnapped was taken for some reason that the defendant considered sufficient benefit to him, or for some purpose of the defendant’s own.

Three, that K.B. is an Indian; and

Four, that the alleged offense took place in Indian country.

If you find unanimously that the United States has proved these four elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count II of the Indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

The crime of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence, as charged in Count III of the Indictment, has three essential elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 28th day of April, 2023, the defendant, Canku Martinez, committed the crime of aggravated sexual abuse as charged in Count I of the Indictment;

Two, that the defendant knowingly brandished, carried, and used a firearm during and in relation to that crime;

The phrase “used a firearm” means that the firearm was actively employed in the course of the commission of the aggravated sexual abuse. You may find that a firearm was used during the commission of the crime of aggravated sexual abuse if you find that it was brandished or displayed or that the defendant made references to a firearm that was in the defendant’s possession.

You may find that a firearm was “carried” during the commission of the aggravated sexual abuse if you find that the defendant had a firearm on his person.

Three, that the firearm was a pistol.

If you find unanimously that the United States has proved these three elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count III of the Indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 9

The crime of aggravated sexual abuse as charged in Count IV of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 29th day of October, 2023, in Todd County, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, Canku Martinez, knowingly caused or attempted to cause N.W. to engage in a sexual act;

“Sexual act” is defined in Instruction No. 11.

“Attempt” is defined in Instruction No. 12.

Two, that the defendant did so by using force or by threatening or placing N.W. in fear that she would be subjected to death, serious bodily injury, or kidnapping;

“Force” is defined in Instruction No. 13.

“Serious bodily injury” is defined in Instruction No. 14.

Three, that N.W. is an Indian; and

Four, that the offense took place in Indian country.

If you find unanimously that the United States has proved these four elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count IV of the Indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

The crime of kidnapping, as charged in Count V of the Indictment, has four essential elements, which are:

One, that on or about the 29th day of October, 2023, in Todd County, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, Canku Martinez, unlawfully and willfully seized, confined, inveigled, decoyed, kidnapped, or carried away N.W. without her consent;

The terms “seize,” “confine,” “inveigle,” “decoy,” “kidnap,” and “carry away” are defined in Instruction No. 15.

Two, the defendant held N.W. for the purpose of assaulting her or otherwise;

The “or otherwise” is satisfied if the person kidnapped was taken for some reason that the defendant considered sufficient benefit to him, or for some purpose of the defendant’s own.

Three, that N.W. is an Indian; and

Four, that the alleged offense took place in Indian country.

If you find unanimously that the United States has proved these four elements beyond a reasonable doubt as to the defendant, then you must find the defendant guilty of the crime charged in Count V of the Indictment. Otherwise, you must find the defendant not guilty of this crime.

INSTRUCTION NO. 11

As used in these instructions, the term “sexual act” means:

- (A) contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus;
- (B) contact between the mouth and the penis, the mouth and the vulva, or the mouth and the anus; or
- (C) the penetration, however slight, of the anal or genital opening of another by a hand or finger or by any object, with an intent to abuse, humiliate, harass, degrade, or arouse or gratify the sexual desire of any person.

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

A person may be found guilty of “attempting” to commit the offenses charged in Counts I and IV of the Indictment if he intended to engage in the charged offenses and voluntarily and intentionally carried out some act which was a substantial step towards engaging in those offenses. A substantial step must be something more than mere preparation yet may be less than the last act necessary before the actual commission of the substantive offense. In order for behavior to be punishable as an attempt, it need not be incompatible with innocence, yet it must be necessary to the consummation of the offense and be of such a nature that a reasonable observer, viewing it in context, would conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that it was undertaken in accordance with a design to commit the substantive offense.

INSTRUCTION NO. 13

As used in these instructions, the term “force” means: the use of physical force sufficient to overcome, restrain, or injure the alleged victim or if the defendant uses a threat of harm sufficient to coerce or compel submission; or the use of force sufficient to prevent the alleged victim from escaping the sexual act. Force can also be implied from a disparity in size and coercive power between the defendant and the alleged victim, but a discrepancy in the size of the individuals is not, by itself, sufficient to conclude that the defendant used force. To find that the defendant used force, you need not find that the defendant used actual violence against the alleged victim.

INSTRUCTION NO. 14

As used in these instructions, the term “serious bodily injury” means: bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death, unconsciousness, extreme physical pain, protracted and obvious disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty.

INSTRUCTION NO. 15

The term “kidnap” as used in these instructions means to take and carry away a person by force and against his or her will.

“Seize,” “confine,” and “carry away” all mean the taking and carrying away of a person, or holding of someone by force or without that person’s consent.

The term “inveigle” as used in these instructions means to lure or entice or lead astray by false representations or promises, or other deceitful means.

The term “decoy” as used in these instructions means enticement by means of some fraud, trick, or temptation.

INSTRUCTION NO. 16

Intent or knowledge may be proved like anything else. You may consider any statements made and acts done by the defendant, and all the facts and circumstances in evidence which may aid in the determination of the defendant's intent.

You may, but are not required to, infer that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of acts knowingly done or knowingly omitted.

An act is done knowingly if the defendant is aware of the act and does not act through ignorance, mistake, or accident. The United States is not required to prove that the defendant knew that his actions were unlawful. You may consider evidence of the defendant's words, acts, or omissions, along with all the other evidence, in deciding whether the defendant acted knowingly.

INSTRUCTION NO. 17

The Indictment in this case alleges that the victims are Indians and that the alleged offenses occurred in Indian country. The existence of those two factors is necessary for this Court to have jurisdiction over the crimes charged in the Indictment.

Counsel for the United States, counsel for the defendant, and the defendant have stipulated that the alleged victims in this case are Indians and that the alleged incidents occurred in Indian country.

The defendant has not, by entering this agreement or stipulation, admitted his guilt of the offenses charged, and you may not draw any inference of guilt from the stipulation. The only effect of this stipulation is to establish the facts that the alleged victims are Indians and that the place where the alleged offenses are claimed to have occurred is in Indian country.

INSTRUCTION NO. 18

You have heard testimony from persons described as experts. Persons who, by knowledge, skill, training, education, or experience, have become expert in some field may state their opinions on matters in that field and may also state the reasons for their opinion.

Expert testimony should be considered just like any other testimony. You may accept or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion, the acceptability of the methods used, and all the other evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 19

The Indictment charges that the offenses were committed “on or about” a certain date. Although it is necessary for the United States to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the offenses were committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged in the Indictment, it is not necessary for the United States to prove that the offenses were committed precisely on the date charged.

INSTRUCTION NO. 20

Reasonable doubt is doubt based on reason and common sense, and not doubt based on speculation. A reasonable doubt may arise from careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence, or from a lack of evidence. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof of such a convincing character that a reasonable person, after careful consideration, would not hesitate to rely and act on that proof in life's most important decisions. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is proof that leaves you firmly convinced of the defendant's guilt. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt.

INSTRUCTION NO. 21

In conducting your deliberations and returning your verdict, there are certain rules you must follow. I shall list those rules for you now.

First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your members as your foreperson. That person will preside over your discussions and speak for you here in court.

Second, it is your duty, as jurors, to discuss this case with one another in the jury room. You should try to reach agreement if you can do so without violence to individual judgment, because a verdict—whether guilty or not guilty, and on each count of the indictment—must be unanimous. Each of you must make your own conscientious decision, but only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with your fellow jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors. Do not be afraid to change your opinions if the discussion persuades you that you should. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right, or simply to reach a verdict.

Third, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is my responsibility. You may not consider punishment in any way in deciding whether the United States has proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Fourth, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations, you may send a note to me through the marshal or court security officer, signed by one or more jurors. I will respond as soon as possible either in writing or orally in open court. Remember that you should not tell anyone—including me—how your votes stand numerically.

Fifth, during your deliberations, you must not communicate with or provide any information to anyone other than by note to me by any means about this case. You may not use any electronic device or media, such as a smartphone or computer; the internet, any internet service, or any text or instant messaging service; or any internet chat room, blog, or website such as Facebook, Snapchat, LinkedIn, Instagram, YouTube, X (formerly known as Twitter), or Truth Social, to communicate to anyone information about this case or to conduct any research about this case until I accept your verdict.

Sixth, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law which I have given to you in my instructions. Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be—that is entirely for you to decide.

Finally, the verdict form is simply the written notice of the decision that you reach in this case. You will take this form to the jury room, and when each of you has agreed on the verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form, sign and date it, and advise the marshal or court security officer that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA
CENTRAL DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. CANKU MARTINEZ, Defendant.	3:24-CR-30008-ECS VERDICT
---	--------------------------------------

We, the jury, duly empaneled and sworn to try the issues in this case, find as follows:

1. We find the defendant, Canku Martinez, _____ (fill in either “not guilty” or “guilty”) of aggravated sexual abuse as charged in Count I of the Indictment.
2. We find the defendant, Canku Martinez, _____ (fill in either “not guilty” or “guilty”) of kidnapping as charged in Count II of the Indictment.
3. We find the defendant, Canku Martinez, _____ (fill in either “not guilty” or “guilty”) of using and carrying a firearm during and in relation to a crime of violence as charged in Count III of the Indictment.
4. We find the defendant, Canku Martinez, _____ (fill in either “not guilty” or “guilty”) of aggravated sexual abuse as charged in Count IV of the Indictment.
5. We find the defendant, Canku Martinez, _____ (fill in either “not guilty” or “guilty”) of kidnapping as charged in Count V of the Indictment.

Dated October ____, 2024.

Foreperson