UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FILED

MAR 0 6 2012



DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

WESTERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | CR. 11-50132-JLV |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | FINAL INSTRUCTIONS |
| • | j , | TO THE JURY |
| vs. |) | (REDACTED) |
| |) | |
| MARVIN LITTLE HAWK, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINAL INSTRUCTION:

| NO. 1 - INTRODUCTION |
|--|
| NO. 2 - DUTY OF JURORS 3 |
| NO. 3 - PRELIMINARY MATTERS 5 |
| NO. 4 - ASSAULT WITH A DANGEROUS WEAPON 6 |
| NO. 5 - PROOF OF INTENT OR KNOWLEDGE |
| NO. 6 - INTOXICATION |
| NO. 7 - PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND BURDEN OF PROOF. 11 |
| NO. 8 - REASONABLE DOUBT 12 |
| NO. 9 - DEFINITION OF EVIDENCE 13 |
| NO. 10 - STATEMENTS BY DEFENDANT |
| NO. 11 - CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES |
| NO. 12 - IMPEACHMENT |
| NO. 13 - OBJECTIONS |
| NO. 14 - USE OF NOTES |
| NO. 15 - DUTY TO DELIBERATE 20 |
| NO. 16 - DUTY DURING DELIBERATIONS |
| |
| RDICT FORM |
| |

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 1 - INTRODUCTION

effect. of the trial and any oral instructions I gave you during the trial remain in equally binding on you and must be followed. Members of the jury, the written instructions I gave you at the beginning All instructions, whenever given and whether in writing or not, are

ignore others consider my instructions as a whole and not single out some instructions and room. The final instructions I am about to give you will be available in the jury These instructions explain the law that applies to this case. You must

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 2 - DUTY OF JURORS

whether the defendant is not guilty or guilty of the offense charged against him the defendant, Marvin Little Hawk. The defendant is charged with the offense of assault with a dangerous weapon. This is a criminal case brought by the United States government against Your duty is to decide from the evidence

judges of the facts, but you must follow the law as stated in my instructions whether you agree with the law or not You will then apply the law to the facts to reach your verdict. common sense to draw conclusions from facts established by the evidence in light of your own observations and experiences. is defined in Final Instruction No. 9. You will find the facts from the evidence presented in court. You are entitled to consider that evidence You may use reason and You are the sole "Evidence"

you. I think your verdict should be. did during the trial as an indication of what I think about the evidence or what common sense, and the law as I give it to you. Do not take anything I said or perform your duties as jurors. made that I have any opinion on how you should decide the case The law demands of you a just verdict based solely on the evidence, your It is vital to the administration of justice that each of you faithfully Do not allow sympathy or prejudice to influence Do not conclude from any ruling or comment I

him, not for anything else. Also, remember Mr. Little Hawk is on trial only for the offense charged against Please remember only Mr. Little Hawk, not anyone else, is on trial here.

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 3 - PRELIMINARY MATTERS

preliminary matters offense charged in the indictment. help you evaluate the evidence, I will give you the elements that make up the beyond a reasonable doubt in order to convict the defendant of that offense. An offense consists of "elements" which the government must prove However, I must first explain some

beyond a reasonable doubt, each element of the offense charged defendant is presumed to be innocent unless and until the government proves, defendant pled not guilty to the charge brought against him. indictment is simply an accusation. The charge against the defendant is set out in an indictment. It is not evidence of anything. Therefore, An

offense occurred within a reasonable time of the date alleged in the indictment. date of the offense charged. certain date. The indictment charges the offense was committed "on or about" The government does not have to prove with certainty the exact It is sufficient if the evidence establishes that the

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 4 - ASSAULT WITH A DANGEROUS WEAPON

dangerous weapon, namely, a wooden stick, with the intent to do bodily harm. Marvin Little Hawk, an Indian, did knowingly assault Ridge, in Indian country, in the District of South Dakota, the defendant, The indictment charges that on or about August 19, 2011, near Pine with a

Elements

the following six essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt dangerous weapon as charged in the indictment, the government must prove For you to find the defendant guilty of the offense of assault with a

One, that on or about August 19, 2011, Marvin Little Hawk assaulted

9

apparent present ability to do so sufficient to put the intentional and voluntary attempt or threat to do injury person against whom the attempt is made in fear of to immediate bodily harm The term "assault," as used in these instructions, is any the person of another, when coupled with

assault and that a wooden stick is a dangerous weapon; Two, that Mr. Little Hawk used a wooden stick to commit the

likely to inflict serious bodily harm A "dangerous weapon" is any object used in a manner

Three, that Mr. Little Hawk intended to do bodily harm;

someone to suffer bodily injury. intentionally doing an act for the purpose of causing "Intent to do bodily harm" means knowingly

Four, that Mr. Little Hawk was not acting in self defense;

unlawful physical harm about to be inflicted by another and uses such force, then he acted in self defense protect himself from what he reasonably believes to be If a person reasonably believes that force is necessary to

a substantial risk of death or great bodily harm. person reasonably believes that such force is necessary to protect himself from what he reasonably believes to be cause death or great bodily harm is justified only if the However, self defense which involves using force likely to

aggressor was armed may be relevant in determining the used. An aggressor need not have been armed in order availability of retreat may be a factor for the jury to degree of force the defendant was entitled to use for the defendant to raise self defense. consider in evaluating whether unreasonable force was required Although a defendant asserting self defense was not to retreat before resorting to Whether an force,

country. offense took place took place near Pine Ridge, South Dakota, in Indian Five and six, that Mr. Little Hawk is an Indian person; and that the

and the defendant have agreed or stipulated that Marvin Dakota, and is in Indian country. the alleged incident occurred is near Pine Ridge, South Little Hawk is an Indian person and that the place where Counsel for the United States, counsel for the defendant,

defendant has not admitted his guilt of the offense is an Indian person and that, if the jury finds the alleged is to present to the jury the fact that Marvin Little Hawk charged, and you may not draw any inference of guilt By entering into this agreement or stipulation, incident occurred, it occurred in Indian country from the stipulation. The only effect of this stipulation

offense. guilty of the offense. essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, you must find the defendant not guilty of the essential elements beyond a reasonable doubt. If the government proves all the weapon as charged in the indictment, the government must prove all six To find the defendant guilty of the offense of assault with a dangerous If the government fails to prove any essential element

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 5 - PROOF OF INTENT OR KNOWLEDGE

intent or knowledge. circumstances in evidence which may aid in a determination of the defendant's any statements made and acts done by the defendant and all the facts and Intent or knowledge may be proven like anything else. You may consider

and probable consequences of acts knowingly done or knowingly omitted. You may, but are not required to, infer that a person intends the natural

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 6 - INTOXICATION

intent to do bodily harm. Evidence the defendant may have acted while under determining whether or not he had the specific intent to do bodily harm. the influence of alcohol may be considered with all other evidence in effect of alcohol makes it impossible for the defendant to have the specific alcohol provides a legal excuse for the commission of an offense only if the at the time of the act charged in the indictment. One of the issues in this case is whether the defendant was intoxicated Being under the influence of

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 7 -

PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE AND BURDEN OF PROOF

beyond a reasonable doubt, each essential element of the offense charged presumption of innocence may be overcome only if the government proves, This presumption alone is sufficient to find the defendant not guilty. arise presumption of innocence requires you to put aside all suspicion that might presumption of innocence remains with the defendant throughout the trial. from the arrest or charge of the defendant or the fact he is here in court. The defendant is presumed innocent and, therefore, not guilty. The

by the government defendant is not even obligated to cross-examine the witnesses called to testify burden or duty of calling any witnesses or producing any evidence. innocence, for the law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the reasonable doubt. This burden never shifts to the defendant to prove his The burden is always on the government to prove guilt beyond

guilty of the offense. elements of the offense charged in the indictment, you must find the find the defendant not guilty of the offense doubt any essential element of the offense charged in the indictment, you must If the government proves beyond a reasonable doubt all the essential If the government fails to prove beyond a reasonable defendant

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 8 - REASONABLE DOUBT

doubt does not mean proof beyond all possible doubt. serious and important affairs of life. that a reasonable person would not hesitate to rely and act upon it in the more Proof beyond a reasonable doubt must be proof of such a convincing character is the kind of doubt that would make a reasonable person hesitate to act. common sense and not the mere possibility of innocence. produced during trial. A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and A reasonable doubt may arise from the evidence or lack of evidence However, proof beyond a reasonable A reasonable doubt

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 9 - DEFINITION OF EVIDENCE

Certain things are *not* evidence. witnesses, documents and other things received as exhibits, and stipulated facts. I mentioned the word "evidence." Stipulated facts are facts that are formally agreed to by the parties I shall list those things for you now: "Evidence" includes the testimony of

- statements and closing arguments by lawyers are not evidence representing the parties in the case are not evidence. Statements, arguments, questions, and comments by lawyers Opening
- 2 try objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not should not be influenced by the objection. Objections and rulings on objections are not evidence. right to object when they believe something is improper. to guess what the answer might have been If I sustained an Lawyers have You
- $\dot{\omega}$ evidence and must not be considered Testimony I struck from the record or told you to disregard is not
- 4. Anything you see or hear about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence

more than you rely on other evidence The fact an exhibit was shown to you does not mean you must rely on it

limited purpose only. That is, it can be used by you only for one particular Furthermore, a particular piece of evidence is sometimes received for

be used instructed you on the purposes for which the piece of evidence can and cannot purpose and not for any other purpose. I told you when that occurred and

receive. should give all evidence the weight and value you believe it is entitled to law makes no distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence. "circumstantial evidence." Some of you may have heard the terms "direct evidence" You should not be concerned with those terms. and The

quantity. documents or exhibits. the evidence should not be determined merely by the number or volume of testifying as to the existence or non-existence of any fact. The weight of the evidence is not determined by the number of witnesses The quality and weight of the evidence are for you to decide. The weight of evidence depends on its quality, not Also, the weight of

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 10 - STATEMENTS BY DEFENDANT

You have heard testimony the defendant made statements to others.

It is for you to decide:

First, whether the defendant made the statements and

including the circumstances under which the statements may have been made. In making these two decisions, you should consider all the evidence, Second, if so, how much weight you should give the statements.

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 11 - CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

a witness says, only part of it, or none of it you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony

see or hear things differently and sometimes forget things. lapse of memory sincere lapse of memory or instead from an intentional falsehood or pretended consider whether a contradiction results from an innocent misrecollection or deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind people sometimes which the testimony is consistent with any evidence that you believe. addiction, if any; the general reasonableness of the testimony; and the extent to something different at an earlier time; the witness's drug or alcohol use or way; the behavior of the witness while testifying; whether the witness said witness's memory; any motives the witness may have for testifying a certain the opportunity the witness had to see or hear the things testified about; the deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness's intelligence; You need

manner in which you judge the testimony of any other witness Also, you should judge the testimony of the defendant in the same

by the government does not mean you should give more weight or credibility to the witness's testimony than you give to any other witness's testimony Finally, just because a witness works in law enforcement or is employed

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 12 - IMPEACHMENT

be "impeached" and how you may treat certain evidence witnesses. In the last instruction, I instructed you generally on the credibility of I now instruct you further on how the credibility of a witness may

therefore whether they affect the credibility of that witness you may consider those earlier statements only to determine whether you think they are consistent or inconsistent with the trial testimony of the witness and admitted to prove that the contents of those statements were true. If earlier statements of a witness were admitted into evidence, they were not say or do something, that is inconsistent with the witness's present testimony. evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something, or failed to a showing that the witness testified falsely concerning a material matter; or by A witness may be discredited or impeached by contradictory evidence; by Instead

exclusive right to give that witness's testimony whatever weight you think it deserves If you believe a witness has been discredited or impeached, it is your

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 13 - OBJECTIONS

object to testimony or other evidence they believe is not properly admissible. lawyer made objections. inferences or conclusions from the question itself. The lawyers have a duty to sustained an objection to a question before it was answered, do not draw any Do not hold it against a lawyer or the party the lawyer represents because the The lawyers made objections during the trial that I ruled upon. If I

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 14 - USE OF NOTES

typewritten transcript of the trial available for your use in reaching a verdict. court reporter making a record of the trial. However, we will not have a You must make your decision based on the evidence. We have an official

influenced by the notes. your memory or another juror's memory. Therefore, you should not be overly Notes you took during the trial are not necessarily more reliable than

keep them or leave them, and we will destroy them. No one will read the notes At the end of the trial, you may take your notes out of the notebook and

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 15 - DUTY TO DELIBERATE

returning a verdict. should do so only after considering the evidence with your fellow jurors solely because of the opinions of other jurors or for the mere purpose of surrender your honest convictions as to the weight or effect of the evidence so without violence to your individual judgment. Of course, you must not one another and to deliberate with a view to reaching agreement if you can do verdict as to the defendant must be unanimous. It is your duty to consult with A verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you Your

your own views and change your opinion if you are convinced it is wrong. others and with a willingness to re-examine your own views submitted to you openly and frankly with proper regard for the opinions of bring twelve minds to a unanimous result, you must examine the questions the course of your deliberations you should not hesitate to re-examine

in your individual judgment, the evidence establishes the defendant's guilt charged against him, then the defendant should have your vote beyond a reasonable doubt on the offense charged against him, then your vote must be not guilty for the defendant. verdict. establish the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt on the offense Remember that if, in your individual judgment, the evidence fails to If all of you reach the same conclusion, then the verdict of the jury Of course, the opposite also applies. for a not guilty

reasonable doubt every essential element of the offense charged instructed you earlier, the burden is upon the government to prove beyond a conclusion, then the verdict of the jury must be guilty for the defendant. should be for a verdict of guilty against the defendant. If all of you reach that As I

guilty. wins when justice is done, regardless of whether your verdict is not guilty or government wins or loses the case. Remember also that the question before you can never be whether the The government, as well as society, always

judges of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight of the evidence facts. Your sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence. Finally, remember that you are not partisans. You are judges of the You are the

you. you carefully consider all of the evidence bearing upon the questions before You may take all the time you feel is necessary. You may conduct your deliberations as you choose. However, I suggest

defendant's case is left open and must be resolved at some later time from the same source as you. way or that a more conscientious, impartial, or competent jury would be selected There is no reason to think that another trial would be tried in a better to hear it. Any future jury must be selected in the same manner and If you should fail to agree on a verdict,

FINAL INSTRUCTION NO. 16 - DUTY DURING DELIBERATIONS

deliberations and returning your verdict: There are certain rules you must follow while conducting your

speak for you here in court members as your foreperson. He or she will preside over your discussions and First, when you go to the jury room, you must select one of your

reasonable doubt as to the offense charged in the indictment way in deciding whether the government has proven its case beyond my responsibility. Second, if the defendant is found guilty, the sentence to be imposed is You may not consider punishment of the defendant in any

you may send a note to me through the court security officer, signed by one or possible, either in writing or orally in open court. tell anyone-including me-how your votes stand numerically more jurors. Third, if you need to communicate with me during your deliberations After conferring with the lawyers, I will respond as soon as Remember you should not

your verdict should be-that is entirely for you to decide must be unanimous. contained in these instructions. Fourth, your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law Nothing I have said or done is intended to suggest what The verdict, whether not guilty or guilty,

reach in this case. Finally, the verdict form is simply the written notice of the decision you You will take this form to the jury room. When you have

announced. You will then return to the courtroom where your verdict will be received and date it, and advise the court security officer that you have reached a verdict. unanimously agreed on a verdict, the foreperson will fill in the form, sign and

BY THE COURT:

JERFREY L. VIKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

WESTERN DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff,) vs.) MARVIN LITTLE HAWK,) Defendant.) We, the jury, duly empaneled and s | CR. 11-50132-JLV VERDICT worn to try the issues in this case |
|---|--|
| VS.) | |
| MARVIN LITTLE HAWK,) | |
| Defendant.) | |
| We, the jury, duly empaneled and sworn to try the issues in this case | worn to try the issues in this case |
| unanimously find the defendant Marvin Little Hawk | ittle Hawk |
| (fill in either "not guilty" or "guilty") of Assault with a Dangerous Weapon as | ault with a Dangerous Weapon as |
| charged in the indictment. | |
| | |
| Date | |
| Fore | Foreperson |